UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,052	12/04/2001	Adelmo Monsalve-Gonzalez	5553	9205
30173 GENERAL MII	7590 06/06/200 LLS, INC.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1113	,	TRAN LIEN, THUY		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/005,052	MONSALVE-GONZALEZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lien T. Tran	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed to the second	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 F This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-48 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3, 5-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

In view of the appeal brief filed on 3/20/08, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. The rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/Milton I. Cano/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794

It is noted that claim 35 is a duplicate of claim 33; it is suggested applicant cancel this claim or change the dependency.

Claims 1-3, 5-9, 16-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanley (4844924) in view of Phillips et al

Stanley discloses a method of preparing a bran product. The method comprises the steps of reacting the bran with lower aliphatic carboxylic acid, acid halide, ester or anhydride and bleaching the reacted bran with one or more bleaching agents. The agents used are peroxides, chlorites, peracids and ozone. Following breaching, the bleached bran is isolated from the bleaching medium by filtration, centrifugation etc, washed and dried to form a free-flowing particulate. (see columns 1,3-4 and example 5). The pH is adjusted to an acidic level after the esterifying step and before the bleaching step. Example 5 discloses adjusting the ph to 5 before bleaching. This meets the limitation of acidifying the bran to a pH of about 4-6 prior to treating with ozone.

The teaching of Stanley is described above. Stanley does not disclose the bran is wheat bran or red wheat bran, the size of the bran is about 100 microns, the acid as in claims 16-17, the moisture content of the bran, the amount of ozone, admixing the bran with flour, forming a dry mix, forming cereal pieces, adding the bran to a grain product and forming the grain product into finished baked good.

Phillips et al disclose a process of bleaching lignocellulosic pulp using ozone.

They teach the amount of ozone used in the bleaching is .2-1% ozone (see col. 5 lines 34-38)

Stanley teaches bleaching bran with ozone; during bleaching, the bran reacts with the ozone. Thus, the reference meets the step of reacting bran with ozone.

Stanley does not disclose the amount. In absence of showing of criticality or unexpected result, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to determine the

Art Unit: 1794

amount of ozone to be used following the teaching of Phillips et al which shows amount in the range which cause bleaching to occur. Applicant has not shown any unexpected result with the claimed amount; it is only a conventional amount used in known reaction as shown by Phillips et al. The properties of reducing ferulic acid and increasing vanillin are an obvious result of the reaction of bran with ozone. Thus, such properties will also be found in the Stanley product. While Stanley discloses the preferred bran is corn bran, other material including vegetable, cereal and fruit sources can be used as the starting material. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use other type of bran when desiring to bleach such bran product. Stanley discloses bran of varying particle sizes; it would have been an obvious matter of choice to pick any size. The bran product disclosed by Stanley is a dietary fiber material having improved color stability. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to add the bran product to any food product including dry mix, cereal, grain product, baked goods etc...when one desires to increase the fiber content of that product. Stanley discloses adding the bran to dough for bread, crackers, cookies and biscuits. If the bran can be added to the dough, it can be added to the flour which is used to make the dough. The use of whole wheat flour or regular wheat flour would have been an obvious matter of choice. It would also have been obvious to add the bran to grain product and cereal product because these food products are typical made to have a high fiber content. The addition of the bran will serve such purpose. The making of cereal pieces is well known in the art; thus, the steps of making the cereal pieces would have been readily apparent to one skilled in the art. It would also have been obvious to use grain product to

Art Unit: 1794

prepare baked good because they are commonly prepared from grain product. The properties claimed are obviously found in the Stanley product because the bran is treated with ozone just as claimed. Applicant has not shown any unexpected result or criticality with the amount claimed. When the bran is added to whole wheat flour, it is obvious the pH will be the same as claimed because the same flour is used.

Claims 1-3,5-21,23-26,33-34,35-39,41,48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gonzalez et al. (US 6899907 B1)

Gonzalez et al disclose a bleached bran and methods of preparation. The starting material for the bleaching can be any type of wheat such as white wheat or red wheat. The bran can be any suitable particle size such as 100 microns or more. The process comprises the steps of treating the bran with about .02-.1% chelating agent for about 1-15 minutes at a temperature of about 70-90 degree c, washing and rinsing the bran, filtering the bran, blanching the bran for 3-10 minutes at 75-85 degree C, washing and rinsing the blanched bran and reacting the bran with oxidant substances such as hydrogen peroxide, ozone and/or peracetic acid. The moisture content of the bleached bran is 4-12. The treatment with ozone is with1-2% ozone at pH 4-5. The bleached bran can be recombined with flours. The bran can be put into dry mixes, ready-to-eat cereals, refrigerated uncooked or bakeable dough, cooked cereal dough. The chelating agents used are selected from the ones listed on page 7 lines 25-29. (see columns 4-6, col. 8, columns 10-11)

Application/Control Number: 10/005,052 Page 6

Art Unit: 1794

The reference discloses the limitations of the above cited claimed. The claimed language does not exclude the additional steps disclosed in the patent. The reference teaches the oxidant substances includes hydrogen peroxide, ozone or peracetic acid; thus, the disclosure includes embodiment in which ozone is the only oxidant agent. Gonzalez et all teach ozone is used in amount of .1-2% at acidic pH of 4-5; thus, it is inherent the concentration and pH are used when ozone is the oxidant agent used. The properties of the reduced ferulic acid and increased vanillin are inherent in the product disclosed in the patent. The discussion by Gonzalez et all starting on line 53 through column 10 line 5 is in relation to the bran treated with alkaline hydrogen peroxide; it is not related to product treated with ozone. Gonzalez et all do disclose embodiment in which the bran is treated with ozone; thus, the properties as claimed are inherent in the Gonzalez et all product. Since the treatment with ozone is not done under reduced or increased pressure, it is inherent the process takes place at atmospheric pressure.

Upon further consideration, the 132 affidavit submitted by applicant on 7/5/07 is found to be sufficient to overcome the rejection over the WO 02/21936A1 because this reference is a publication. However, it is not sufficient to overcome a rejection over US 6899907 patent because the reference is a patent which lists the others as inventors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,052 Page 7

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 5, 2008

/Lien T Tran/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794
